



## Greenhouse gas emissions from arable and vegetable cropping systems

**Steve Thomas & Sam McNally** 



#### Background

- NZ
  - Agriculture contributes 50% of NZ total emissions
  - Agricultural emission reduction targets (CH<sub>4</sub>)
  - Agricultural emission pricing 2025

- Cropping
  - Lack of research on emissions in NZ
  - Lack of quantification through crop rotations
  - Lack of mitigation advice



#### **GHG** emissions from arable and vegetable rotations

- Background to the studies:
  - Lack of industry knowledge
    - Where do we fit?
    - What are the key emitters?
    - What are the key levers?
    - He Waka Eke Noa
  - Effect of rotations
  - Lack of NZ relevant research
- Method
  - Inventory approach emission budget
  - Within the farm gate



#### **Arable rotation**



Q

#### Key sources of GHG emissions from an arable rotation



Activity	Gas	Arable (%)
Grazing	CH <sub>4</sub> N <sub>2</sub> O	30 2
Residue N	N <sub>2</sub> O	27
Fertiliser N	N <sub>2</sub> O	20
Indirect N	N <sub>2</sub> O	5
Fuel	CO <sub>2</sub>	10
Irrigation	$CO_2$	6

#### Contributions of different arable crops to overall emissions





Crop	Source 1	Source 2
Ryegrass seed	Fertiliser	Grazing
Ryegrass grazing	Grazing	
Beans	Fertiliser	Residue
Oats- forage	Grazing	
Kale - seed	Residue	Fertiliser
Peas	Fuel	Residue
Carrots	Residue	Fertiliser
Oats - greenfeed	Grazing	Fertiliser

#### **Vegetable rotation**

Q



#### Key sources of GHG emissions from a vegetable rotation



Activity	Gas	Vegetable (%)
Grazing	CH <sub>4</sub> N <sub>2</sub> O	12 1
Residue N	N <sub>2</sub> O	36
Fertiliser N	N <sub>2</sub> O	28
Indirect N	N <sub>2</sub> O	8
Fuel	CO <sub>2</sub>	15

# Contributions of different vegetable crops to overall emissions



Crop	Source 1	Source 2
Squash	Residue	Fertiliser
Oats- forage	Grazing	
Process peas	Residue	
Carrot seed	Residue	Fertiliser
Barley	Fertiliser	Residue
Brocolli	Residue	Fertiliser
Onions	Fertiliser	

# Summary of key sources of GHG emissions in an arable and vegetable rotation



#### Is this information useful to farmers?

- Pros
  - Simple
  - Identifies big management drivers
  - Easy to look at all gases
  - Consistent with inventory
    - Uses NZ specific factors
  - Allows comparison between different crops and systems
  - Aligns with He Waka Eke Noa accounting

- Cons
  - Most crops are not accounted for in the inventory. Information on residues is lacking especially
  - Ignores key soil and climate drivers (N<sub>2</sub>O)
    - Drainage
    - Aeration
    - pH
    - Rainfall
  - Ignores specific management effects
    - Machinery/animal traffic
    - Irrigation
    - N surpluses
    - Fallow periods
  - Does not account for changes in soil C
  - Difficult to design mitigations beyond high level drivers –ie. fertiliser and residues
  - Offsite emissions of residues? Who is responsible?

#### **Acknowledgements**

- Foundation for Arable Research (FAR)
- Vegetable Research and Innovation Board (VR&I)
- Steve Dellow, Jo Sharp
- Dirk Wallace, Abie Horrocks



### Thank you

steve.thomas@plantandfood.co.nz

# Asmart green future. Together.



The New Zealand Institute for Plant and Food Research Limited